

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,413	11/18/2001	William Ho Chang	1282-011/MMM	5528
21034 IPSOLON LLI	21034 7590 06/29/2007 IPSOLON LLP		EXAMINER NAWAZ, ASAD M	
111 SW COLUMBIA				
SUITE 710 PORTLAND,	OR 97201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2155	,
				٠.
	·	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•	•		06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

;	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/992,413	CHANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Asad M. Nawaz	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MENT OF THE MAILING DOWN THE MENT OF THE MEN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirm will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed at the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-22 and 32-58 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-22 and 32-58 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority document:  2. △ Certified copies of the priority document:  3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the election made without traverse on 6/7/07. Group II consisting of claims 11-22 and 35-58 were elected. Accordingly, claims 11-22 and 35-58 are pending prosecution.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on 6/7/07 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 11, 13-15 and 32-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tan et al (USPN: 6760745) hereinafter Tan.

As to claim 11, Tan teaches data output service system for rendering at an output device output content managed from an information apparatus, comprising: means for delivering a document object and an output device object to a server application operated on a server that is distinct from the information apparatus and the

Art Unit: 2155

output device, the document object relating to the output content and the output device object having one or more attributes corresponding to the output device (col 3, line s52-61);

means for generating with the server application output data related to the output device for rendering the output content (col 4, lines 3-10);

and means for delivering the output data to the output device for rendering the output content (col 4, lines 17-27).

As to claim 13, Tan teaches the system of claim 11 in which the means for delivering the document object and the output device object to the server application and the means for delivering the output data to the output device are included in an output controller associated with the output device (col 4, lines 3-27).

As to claim 14 Tan teaches the system of claim 13 in which the output controller is included in the selected output device (col 4, lines 3-27).

As to claim 15 Tan teaches the system of claim 13 in which the output device includes a display output control unit and the output controller is included in the display output control unit of the output device (col 4, lines 3-27).

Claims 32-58 present no further limitations above claims 11, 13-15 and 32-58 and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 and 16-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan further in view of Stewart et al (USPGPUB 2004/0057075).

As to claim 12, Tan teaches the system of claim 11 however does not explicitly indicate means for obtaining payment information from the information apparatus corresponding to payment for the data output service provided at the output device.

Stewart et al teaches means for obtaining payment information from the information apparatus corresponding to payment for the data output service provided at the output device (0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Stewart et al into those of Tan to make the system more user friendly. By providing a large pool of resources to the users and letting them decide whether the fee is fair, a user friendly system can be achieved.

As to claim 16 Tan teaches the system of claim 11 however does not explicitly indicate in which the output device is a printer.

Stewart el al teaches the output device is a printer (0052).

Art Unit: 2155

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Stewart et al into those of Tan to make the system more user friendly. By providing a large pool of resources to the users and letting them decide whether the fee is fair, a user friendly system can be achieved.

As to claim 17 Tan teaches the system of claim 11 but does not explicitly teach the information apparatus includes a portable computing device.

Stewart teaches the information apparatus includes a portable computing device (0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Stewart et al into those of Tan to make the system more user friendly. By providing a large pool of resources to the users and letting them decide whether the fee is fair, a user friendly system can be achieved.

As to claim 18, Tan teaches the system of claim 12 but does not teach the payment information is provided automatically by the information apparatus.

Stewart et al teaches the payment information is provided automatically by the information apparatus (0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Stewart et al into those of Tan to make the system more user friendly. By providing a large pool of resources to the users and letting them decide whether the fee is fair, a user friendly system can be achieved.

Art Unit: 2155

As to claim 19 Tan teaches the system of claim 12 but does not teach in which the payment information is provided through the information apparatus as entered by a user in response to a prompt to enter payment information.

Stewart et al teaches the payment information is provided through the information apparatus as entered by a user in response to a prompt to enter payment information (0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Stewart et al into those of Tan to make the system more user friendly. By providing a large pool of resources to the users and letting them decide whether the fee is fair, a user friendly system can be achieved.

As to claim 20 Tan teaches the system of claim 12 but does not teach the payment information includes data output service subscriber information corresponding to a pre-arranged subscription to the data output service.

Stewart et al teaches the payment information includes data output service subscriber information corresponding to a pre-arranged subscription to the data output service (0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Stewart et al into those of Tan to make the system more user friendly. By providing a large pool of resources to the users and letting them decide whether the fee is fair, a user friendly system can be achieved.

Art Unit: 2155

As to claim 21, Tan teaches the system of claim 20 but does not teach correlating the data output service subscriber information with a subscriber database that stores subscriber profiles with subscription payment information.

Stewart et al teaches correlating the data output service subscriber information with a subscriber database that stores subscriber profiles with subscription payment information (0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Stewart et al into those of Tan to make the system more user friendly. By providing a large pool of resources to the users and letting them decide whether the fee is fair, a user friendly system can be achieved.

As to claim 22, Tan teaches the system of claim 11 but does not teach obtaining authentication information from the information apparatus to authenticating permission for the information apparatus to access the data output service.

Stewart teaches obtaining authentication information from the information apparatus to authenticating permission for the information apparatus to access the data output service (0052).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Stewart et al into those of Tan to make the system more user friendly. By providing a large pool of resources to the users and letting them decide whether the fee is fair, a user friendly system can be achieved.

Art Unit: 2155

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M. Nawaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**AMN** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER